

Lenny M. Chapman and
Tracy M. Chapman,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Hiland Operating, LLC, a Foreign
Company, Hiland Partners GP Holdings,
LLC, a Foreign Company, and Hiland
Partners LP, a Foreign Partnership,

Defendants and
Third-Party Plaintiff
(Hiland Operating, LLC)

vs.

Missouri Basin Well Service, Inc., and
B&B Heavy Haul, LLC,

Third-Party Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-052

During the status conference, the court modified the procedure to be followed if future discovery disputes arise because of the number of discovery disputes that have arisen and the

inability of the parties to agree on discovery matters. The parties are no longer to required to comply with the D.N.D. Civ. L.R. 37.1(B) requirement that a telephonic conference be conducted by the magistrate judge before a discovery motion is filed. Rather, the parties shall proceed as follows:

1. The party requesting resolution of a dispute shall file an appropriate motion.
2. Any party wishing to file a response shall do so within five (5) business day of the filing of the motion.
3. No replies shall be filed.
4. The court will hold a hearing to address the motion.

The parties shall follow the procedure outlined above unless immediate court intervention is required, for example, if a dispute arises during an inspection or a deposition. In such a case, the parties may contact chambers by telephone when the dispute arises.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 24th day of January, 2014.

/s/ Charles S. Miller, Jr.
Charles S. Miller, Jr., Magistrate Judge
United States District Court